arXiv:math/9803148v1 [math.OA] 30 Mar 1998

Almost representations and asymptotic representations of discrete groups

V. M. Manuilov

Abstract

We define for discrete finitely presented groups a new property related to their asymptotic representations. Namely we say that a groups has the property AGA if every almost representation generates an asymptotic representation. We give examples of groups with and without this property. For our example of a group Γ without AGA the group $K^0(B\Gamma)$ cannot be covered by asymptotic representations of Γ.

One of the reasons of attention to almost and asymptotic representations of discrete groups [5, 2] is their relation to K-theory of classifying spaces [2, 9]. It was shown in [9] that in the case of finite-dimensional classifying space $B\Gamma$ in order to construct a vector bundle over it out of an asymptotic representation of Γ it is sufficient to have an ε-almost representation of Γ with small enough ε. Of course an ε-almost representation contains less information than the whole asymptotic representation, but it turns out that often the information contained in an ε-almost representation makes it possible to construct the corresponding asymptotic representation. In the present paper we give the definition of this property, prove this property for some classes of groups and finally give an example of a group without this property. We discuss also this example in relation with its K-theory.

1 Basic definitions

Let Γ be a finitely presented discrete group, and let $\Gamma = \langle F|R\rangle = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n | r_1, \dots, r_k\rangle$ be its presentation with g_i being generators and $r_j = r_j(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ being relations. We assume that the set $F = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ is symmetric, i.e. for every g_i it contains g_i^{-1} too, and the set R of relations contains relations of the form $g_i g_i^{-1}$, though we usually will skip these additional generators and relations.

By U_∞ we denote the direct limit of the groups U_n with respect to the natural inclusion $U_n \longrightarrow U_{n+1}$ supplied with the standard operator norm. The unit matrix we denote by $I \in U_\infty$.

Definition 1 A set of unitaries $\sigma = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \subset U_\infty$ is called an *ε-almost representation* of the group Γ if after substitution of u_i istead of g_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, into r_j one has

$$\|r_j(u_1, \dots, u_n) - I\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, k$.

In this case we write $\sigma(g_i) = u_i$. Remark that this definition depends on a choice of presentation of the group Γ, but we will see that this dependence is not important. Let $\langle h_1, \ldots, h_m | s_1, \ldots, s_l \rangle$ be another presentation of Γ. For an ε-almost representation σ with respect to the first presentation we can define the set of unitaries $v_1 \ldots, v_m \in U_\infty$, $v_i = \overline{\sigma}(h_i)$ putting $\overline{\sigma}(h_i) = \sigma(g_{j_1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma(g_{j_{n_i}})$, where $h_i = g_{j_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot g_{j_{n_i}}$. By the same way starting from the set $\overline{\sigma}(h_i)$ we can construct the set $\overline{\overline{\sigma}}(g_i)$.

Lemma 2 *There exist constants C and D (depending on the two presentations) such that $\overline{\sigma}$ is a $C\varepsilon$-almost representation with respect to the second presentation of Γ and for all g_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, one has $\|\overline{\overline{\sigma}}(g_i) - \sigma(g_i)\| \leq D\varepsilon$.*

Proof. We have to estimate the norms $\|s_q(v_1, \ldots, v_m) - I\|$, $q = 1, \ldots, l$. To do so notice that every relation s_q can be written in the form

$$s_q = a_1^{-1} r_{j_1}^{\epsilon_1} a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{m_q}^{-1} r_{j_{m_q}}^{\epsilon_{m_q}} a_{m_q} \tag{1}$$

for some $a_i \in \Gamma$, where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$. Let M be the maximal length of the words $a_i = a_i(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$. Put $b_i' = a_i^{-1}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in U_\infty$, $b_i = a_i(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in U_\infty$. Then one has

$$\|b_i' b_i - I\| \leq M\varepsilon.$$

It follows from (1) that

$$s_q(v_1, \ldots, v_m) = b_1' r_{j_1}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_{m_q}' r_{j_{m_q}}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) b_{m_q},$$

but as for every i one has

$$\left\| b_i' r_{j_i}^{\epsilon_i}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) b_i - I \right\| \leq \|b_i' b_i - I\| + \left\| r_{j_i}^{\epsilon_i}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) - I \right\| \leq (M+1)\varepsilon,$$

so

$$\|s_q(v_1, \ldots, v_m) - I\| \leq m_q (M+1)\varepsilon,$$

which proves the first statement of the Lemma. The second statement is proved in a similar way. □

As the number of generators is finite, so the image of every almost representation lies in finite matrices, $\sigma \in U_n$ for some n. The minimal such n is called a dimension of σ. Usually we will ignore the remaining infinite unital tail of the matrices $\sigma(g_i)$ and write $\sigma(g_i) \in U_n$ instead of U_∞.

The set of all ε-almost representations of the group Γ we denote by $R_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$. The deviation of an almost representation can be measured by the value

$$\|\sigma\| = \max_j \|r_j(u_1, \ldots, u_n) - I\|.$$

Notice that both these definitions also depend on the choice of a presentation of Γ.

Definition 3 (cf. [2]) A set of norm-continuous unitary paths $\sigma_t = \{u_1(t), \ldots, u_n(t)\} \subset U_\infty$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, is called an *asymptotic representation* of the group Γ if $\|\sigma_t\|$ tends to zero when $t \to \infty$.

Due to the Lemma 2 this definition does not depend on the choice of a presentation of Γ. The set of all asymptotic representations of the group Γ we denote by $R_{asym}(\Gamma)$.

Now we are ready to define a new property of finitely generated groups which we call AGA (*Almost* representations *Generate Asymptotic* representations).

Definition 4 A group Γ possesses the property AGA if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find a number $\delta(\varepsilon)$ (with the property $\delta(\varepsilon) \to 0$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$) such that for every almost representation $\sigma \in R_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ there exists an asymptotic representation $\sigma_t \in R_{asym}(\Gamma)$ such that $\sigma_0 = \sigma$ and $\|\sigma_t\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Lemma 5 *The property AGA does not depend on the choice of a presentation of the group.*

Proof immediately follows from the Lemma 2. $\square$

Theorem 6 *The following groups have the property AGA:*

i) free groups,

ii) free products of groups having the property AGA,

iii) subgroups of finite index in groups having the property AGA,

iv) finite groups,

v) free abelian groups,

vi) fundamental groups of two-dimensional oriented manifolds.

Proof. The first item is obvious — free groups have no relations, so every almost representation is a genuine representation. The same argument works for the second item too. The third item can be proved in the same way as the Lemma 2 as subgroups of finite index are defined by a finite number of relations originated from relations of the group. The fourth item was proved in [5] — for finite groups there exists a genuine representation close to every almost representation. The fifth item is non-trivial too and was proved in [7]. It follows also from the Super Homotopy Lemma of [1]. The sixth item we prove in the next section.

2 Case of fundamental groups of oriented surfaces

Let $\Gamma = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_m, b_m | a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_m b_m a_m^{-1} b_m^{-1} \rangle$. Let σ be an ε-almost representation of Γ, $u_i = \sigma(a_i)$, $v_i = \sigma(b_i)$, $u_i, v_i \in U_n$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Denote $\gamma(u, v) = uvu^{-1}v^{-1}$, then we have

$$\|\gamma(u_1, v_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \gamma(u_m, v_m) - I\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Consider the map

$$\gamma : U_n \times U_n \longrightarrow SU_n. \qquad (2)$$

To prove the property AGA for fundamental groups of oriented two-dimensional manifolds we have to use the following elementary statement about the map (2).

Lemma 7 *Let* $(u_0, v_0) \in U_n \times U_n$ *and let* $c(t) \in SU_n$, $t \in [0,1]$, *be a path such that* $\gamma(u_0, v_0) = c(0)$. *Then for any* $\delta > 0$ *there exists a path* $(u_t, v_t) \in U_n \times U_n$ *such that* $\|\gamma(u_t, v_t) - c(t)\| < \delta$.

Proof. Remember that a pair $(u, v) \in U_n \times U_n$ is called *irreducible* if there is no common invariant subspace for u and v. It was shown in [4] that the set of regular points for the map γ (2) coincides with the set of irreducible pairs. Denote the set of reducible pairs $(u, v) \in U_n \times U_n$ by S. For any $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ by $\Sigma_k \subset SU_n$ denote the set of block-diagonal matrices $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to some invariant subspace V, $\dim V = k$, such that $c_1 \in SU_k$, $c_2 \in SU_{n-k}$. Put $\Sigma = \cup_k \Sigma_k \subset SU_n$. Then obviously $\gamma(S) \subset \Sigma$. Notice that every Σ_k is a submanifold in SU_n with codimension one. So Σ divides SU_n into a finite set of closed path components M_j, $\cup_j M_j = SU_n$ and for every point $c \in M_j$ the set $\gamma^{-1}(c)$ consists only of regular points. Hence every path in M_j transversal to its boundary can be lifted up to a path in $U_n \times U_n$ with a fixed starting point.

Without loss of generality we can assume that the path $c(t)$ is transversal to every Σ_k. Let $t_0 \in \{c(t)\} \cap \Sigma_k$. It remains to show that we can lift the path $c(t)$ in some neighborhood of the point $c_0 = c(t_0)$. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in U_n \times U_n$ be such point that $\gamma(u_0, v_0) = c_0$. If the point (u_0, v_0) is a regular point then the statement is obvious. Otherwise we can write

$$u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to some basis and we can assume that matrices v_1 and v_2 are diagonal. Let

$$e^{2\pi i \varphi_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \varphi_k} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{2\pi i \varphi_{k+1}}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \varphi_n}$$

be the eigenvalues of v_1 and v_2 respectively. Slightly changing v_0 we can assume that for all $i = 2, \dots, k$, $j = k+2, \dots, n$ the values $\varphi_i - \varphi_1$, $\varphi_j - \varphi_{k+1}$ differ from each other. Multiplying v_1 by $e^{-2\pi i \varphi_1 t}$ and v_2 by $e^{-2\pi i \varphi_{k+1} t}$, $t \in [0,1]$, we connect the matrix v_0 with the matrix

$$v_0' = \begin{pmatrix} v_1' & 0 \\ 0 & v_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\pi i \varphi_1} v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i \varphi_{k+1}} v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has two eigenvalues equal to one and all other eigenvalues being different from each other. Obviously the value $\gamma(u_0, v_0) = \gamma(u_0, v_0') = c_0$ does not change along this path. Denote by $e_1, \dots, e_n$ the basis consisting of the eigenvalues of v_0' and let $w(t) \in U_n$, $t \in [0,1]$, be a rotation of the vectors e_1 and e_{k+1}:

$$w(t)e_1 = \cos t e_1 - \sin t e_{k+1}, \quad w(t)e_{k+1} = \sin t e_1 + \cos t e_{k+1}, \quad w(t)e_j = e_j \quad \text{for} \quad j \neq 1, k+1.$$

Obviously $w(t)$ commutes with v_0'. Put $u_t = u_0 w(t)$. Then

$$\gamma(u_t, v_0') = u_0 w(t) v_0' w^{-1}(t) u_0^{-1} (v_0')^{-1} = \gamma(u_0, v_0') = c_0$$

and for $\sin t \neq 0$ the pair (u_t, v_0') is *irreducible* (since v_0' is diagonal with only two coinciding eigenvalues, so its invariant subspaces are easy to describe, then it is easy to check that they are not invariant under the action of u_t) with the same value of γ. Then it is possible to extend the path (u_t, v_t) through the point c_0. $\square$

Proposition 8 *Any ε-almost representation of Γ is homotopically equivalent in $R_{2\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ to an 2ε-almost representation with $u_2 = v_2 = \ldots = u_m = v_m = I$.*

Proof. Connect the matrix $\gamma(u_m, v_m)$ with I by a path $c_m(t)$. Then by the Lemma 7 we can find a path $(u_m(t), v_m(t)) \in U_n \times U_n$ such that

$$\|\gamma(u_m(t), v_m(t)) - c_m(t)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2m}.$$

Notice that the set $\gamma^{-1}(I) = \{(u,v) : uv = vu\}$ is path-connected, so we can assume that the end point of the path $(u_m(t), v_m(t))$ is (I, I). Put

$$c_{m-1}(t) = \gamma(u_{m-1}, v_{m-1}) c_m^{-1}(t).$$

Again by the Lemma 7 we can find a path $(u_{m-1}(t), v_{m-1}(t)) \in U_n \times U_n$ such that

$$\|\gamma(u_{m-1}(t), v_{m-1}(t)) - c_{m-1}(t)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2m}.$$

Then

$$\|\gamma(u_1, v_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \gamma(u_{m-1}(t), v_{m-1}(t)) \cdot \gamma(u_m(t), v_m(t)) - I\| \leq \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{m}$$

and at the end point we have $(u_m(t), v_m(t)) = (I, I)$. Proceeding by induction we finish the proof. □

It now follows from the proposition 8 that the property AGA for the group Γ follows from the same property for the group $\mathbf{Z}^2$ with generators u_1, v_1. □

3 Example of a group without AGA

Consider the group $\Gamma = \langle a, b, c | aca^{-1}c^{-1}, b^2, (ab)^2 \rangle$.

Theorem 9 *The group Γ does not possess the property AGA.*

Proof. Put $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ and define a family of almost representations σ_n taking values in U_n by

$$\sigma_n(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega &&&&& \\ & \omega^2 &&&& \\ && \cdot &&& \\ &&& \cdot && \\ &&&& \cdot & \\ &&&&& \omega^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_n(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 &&&&& 1 \\ 1 & 0 &&&& \\ & 1 & \cdot &&& \\ && \cdot & \cdot && \\ &&& \cdot & \cdot & \\ &&&& 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_n(b) = \begin{pmatrix} &&&&& 1 \\ &&&& 1 & \\ &&& \cdot && \\ && \cdot &&& \\ & \cdot &&&& \\ 1 &&&&& \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the matrices $\sigma_n(a)$ and $\sigma_n(c)$ are the Voiculescu matrices [10] with the winding number [3] equal to one, and one has

$$\sigma_n(a)\sigma_n(c)\sigma_n(a)^{-1}\sigma_n(c)^{-1} = \omega \cdot I, \quad \sigma_n(b)^2 = I, \quad (\sigma_n(a)\sigma_n(b))^2 = \omega \cdot I,$$

so

$$\varepsilon_n = \|\|\sigma_n\|\| = |\omega - 1| \to 0 \quad \text{when} \quad n \to \infty,$$

hence for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε-almost representation σ of Γ such that the winding number of the pair $(\sigma(a), \sigma(c))$ equals one.

Suppose the opposite, i.e. that the group Γ has AGA. Then there should be such ε that the number $\delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1$. Take such ε and an ε-almost representation σ_0 with a non-zero winding number of the pair $(\sigma_0(a), \sigma_0(c))$.

By supposition there exists an asymptotic representation $\sigma_t \in R_{asym}(\Gamma)$ extending σ_0 such that $\|\|\sigma_t\|\| \leq 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and for any $\varepsilon' > 0$ there exists t_0 such that $\|\|\sigma_{t_0}\|\| \leq \varepsilon'$. Fix this t_0 and denote σ_{t_0} by σ. Let n and $n+m$ be the dimension of the almost representation σ_0 and σ respectively. Then one has

$$\|\sigma(a)\sigma(c) - \sigma(c)\sigma(a)\| \leq \varepsilon', \quad \left\|\sigma(b)^2 - I\right\| \leq \varepsilon', \quad \|\sigma(a)\sigma(b)\sigma(a)\sigma(b) - I\| \leq \varepsilon'.$$

Notice that as along the whole path σ_t one has

$$\left\|\sigma_t(b)^2 - I\right\| \leq \|\|\sigma_t\|\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1,$$

so the eigenvalues of $\sigma_t(b)$ satisfy the estimate $|\lambda^2 - 1| \leq 1$, hence the number of eigenvalues $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}\sigma_t(b)$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0$ does not change along the whole path σ_t in U_∞, therefore the number of eigenvalues $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}\sigma(b)$ with $|\lambda + 1| \leq \varepsilon'$ cannot exceed n (the maximal number of eigenvalues with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0$ of $\sigma_0(b)$) and the number of eigenvalues with $|\lambda - 1| \leq \varepsilon'$ is not less than m. Then there exists a matrix $\sigma(b)' \in U_{n+m}$ such that $\|\sigma(b) - \sigma(b)'\| \leq \varepsilon'$ and that the matrix $\sigma(b)'$ has not more than n eigenvalues equal to -1 and not less than m eigenvalues equal to 1. Then we have

$$\|\sigma(a)\sigma(b)'\sigma(a)\sigma(b)' - I\| \leq 3\varepsilon'. \tag{3}$$

Notice that $(\sigma(b)')^2 = I$ and

$$|\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(b)')| \geq m - n. \tag{4}$$

Let

$$\sigma(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \omega_{n+m} \end{pmatrix}$$

be the matrix of the operator $\sigma(a)$ in the basis consisting of its eigenvectors. It was shown in [6, 1] that if the winding number of the pair $(\sigma(a), \sigma(c))$ is non-zero then for any $\varepsilon' > 0$ there exists $\delta'(\sigma')$ such that $\delta'(\varepsilon') \to 0$ when $\varepsilon' \to 0$ and that all lacunae in $\operatorname{Sp}\sigma(a)$ do not exceed $\delta'(\varepsilon')$. Denote the number of eigenvalues of $\sigma(a)$ with $|\operatorname{Im}\omega_j| > 2\varepsilon'$ by N. Then we have

$$N \geq \frac{2\pi - 10\varepsilon'}{\delta'(\varepsilon')}. \tag{5}$$

As $(\sigma(b)')^2 = I$, so it follows from (3) that

$$\|\sigma(a)\sigma(b)' - \sigma(b)'\sigma(a)^*\| \leq 3\varepsilon'. \tag{6}$$

Denote by b_{ij} the matrix elements of the matrix $\sigma(b)'$. It follows from (6) that all matrix elements of $\sigma(a)\sigma(b)' - \sigma(b)'\sigma(a)^*$ do not exceed $3\varepsilon'$, i.e.

$$|b_{ii}(\omega_i - \overline{\omega}_i)| \leq 3\varepsilon', \quad i = 1, \dots, n+m. \tag{7}$$

Let us estimate $\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(b)')$. We have

$$|\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(b)')| = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} b_{ii}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} |b_{ii}| = \sum\nolimits' |b_{ii} + \sum\nolimits'' |b_{ii}|,$$

where $\sum'$ denotes the sum for those numbers i for which one has $|\operatorname{Im}\omega_i| > 2\varepsilon'$ and $\sum''$ is the sum for the remaining numbers. As for all i one has $|b_{ii}| \leq 1$, so the last sum do not exceed the number of summands,

$$\sum\nolimits'' |b_{ii}| \leq n + m - N.$$

It follows from (7) that for those i which are included into the first sum we have $|\omega_i - \overline{\omega}_i| > 4\varepsilon'$, hence those b_{ii} satisfy

$$|b_{ii}| < \frac{3}{4},$$

so

$$\sum\nolimits' |b_{ii}| < \frac{3}{4}N,$$

hence we have

$$|\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(b)')| < \frac{3}{4}N + n + m - N = n + m - \frac{N}{4}$$

and it follows from (5) that

$$|\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(b)')| < n + m - \frac{\pi - 5\varepsilon'}{2\delta'(\varepsilon')}. \tag{8}$$

If we take ε' small enough then $\delta'(\varepsilon')$ is small enough too and we get $\dfrac{\pi - 5\varepsilon'}{2\delta'(\varepsilon')} > 2n$, then (5) and (8) give a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 10 *Let $\sigma_t \in R_{asym}(\Gamma)$ be an asymptotic representation. Then the winding number of the pair $(\sigma_t(a), \sigma_t(c))$ is zero for big enough t. In particular, it means that σ_t is homotopic to an asymptotic representation $\rho_t \in R_{asym}(\Gamma)$ with $\rho_t(c) = I$ in the class of asymptotic representations.* $\square$

Denote the Grothendieck group of homotopy classes of asymptotic representations of the group Γ by $\mathcal{R}_{asym}(\Gamma)$. Let H denote the subgroup $\langle a, b | b^2, (ab)^2\rangle \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 * \mathbf{Z}_2$. Then $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}^2 *_{\mathbf{Z}} H$, so we have $B\Gamma = \mathbf{T}^2 \cup BH$, $S^1 = \mathbf{T}^2 \cap BH$, where $B\Gamma$, BH, S^1 and $\mathbf{T}^2$ are the classifying spaces of the groups Γ, H, $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^2$ respectively, and the inclusion $S^1 \subset \mathbf{T}^2$ is the standard inclusion onto the first coordinate. Then one has an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^0(B\Gamma) \arrow[r] & K^0(BH) \oplus K^0(\mathbf{T}^2) \arrow[r] & K^0(S^1) \arrow[d] \\
K^1(S^1) \arrow[u] & K^1(BH) \oplus K^1(\mathbf{T}^2) \arrow[l] & K^1(B\Gamma) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

and as the maps $K^*(\mathbf{T}^2)\longrightarrow K^*(S^1)$ are onto, so the vertical maps in (9) are zero and the group $K^0(B\Gamma)$ contains an element β which is mapped onto the Bott generator of $K^0(\mathbf{T}^2)$. Remember that in [9] a map

$$\mathcal{R}_{asym}(\Gamma)\longrightarrow K^0(B\Gamma) \tag{10}$$

was constructed, which factorizes [8] through the assembly map

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\Gamma\times\mathbf{Z})\longrightarrow K^0(B\Gamma),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\Gamma)$ denotes the Grothendieck group of representations of Γ into the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{Q}$.

It follows from the Corollary 10 that $\mathcal{R}_{asym}(\Gamma)=\mathcal{R}_{asym}(H)$, therefore the element $\beta\in K^0(B\Gamma)$ does not lie in the image of the map (10), hence we obtain

Corollary 11 *The map (10) is not a rational epimorphism for the group* Γ. □

On the other hand we should remark that the element $\beta\in K^0(B\Gamma)$ can be obtained as an image of a representation of $\Gamma\times\mathbf{Z}$ into the Calkin algebra. To get such representation we can take $\sigma(b)$ to be the infinite direct sum of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$ and to rearrange the basis for the matrices $\sigma_n(a)$ and $\sigma_n(c)$ in such a way that $\sigma_n(a)$ and $\sigma(b)$ would almost commute. We should also insert a number of intermediate matrices between σ_n and σ_{n+1} as it was done in [9]. Denote by H_n the Hilbert space where the matrices $\sigma_n(a)$, $\sigma_n(c)$ and $\sigma(b)$ act and put $H=\oplus_n H_n$ (for negative n put $\sigma_n(a)=\sigma_n(c)=I$). Let F be a shift on H. Then the matrices $\oplus_n\sigma_n(a)$, $\oplus_n\sigma_n(c)$ $\oplus_n\sigma(b)$ and F generate a representation of $\Gamma\times\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathcal{Q}$ with necessary property.

Remark that in our example the absence of the AGA property is related to torsion. It would be interesting to know whether torsion-free groups always have AGA.

Acknowledgement. The present paper was prepared with the partial support of RBRF (grant N 96-01-00276) and INTAS. I am grateful to A. S. Mishchenko for useful discussions.

References

[1] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott, D. E. Evans, A. Kishimoto: Homotopy of a pair of approximately commuting unitaries in a simple C^*-algebra. Univ. of Oslo Preprint Series, preprint No 11, 1997.

[2] A. Connes, N. Higson: Deformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K-theorie bivariante. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, série I, **311** (1990), 101–106.

[3] R. Exel, T. A. Loring: Invariants of almost commuting unitaries. *J. Funct. Anal.* **95** (1991), 364–376.

[4] R. Exel: The soft torus II. A variational analysis of commutator norms. *J. Funct. Anal.* **126** (1994), 259–273.

[5] P. de la Harpe, M. Karoubi: Représantations approchées d'un groupe dans une algèbre de Banach. *Manuscripta Math.* **22** (1977), 293–310.

[6] V. M. Manuilov: On almost commuting operators. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **31** (1997), No 3, 80–82 (in Russian). English translation: *Functional Anal. Appl.* **31** (1997), No 3.

[7] V. M. Manuilov: Almost commutativity implies asymptotic commutativity. Copenhagen Univ. Preprint Series, preprint No 14, 1997.

[8] V. M. Manuilov, A. S. Mishchenko: Asymptotic and Fredholm representations of discrete groups. IHES. Preprint No 74, 1997. To appear in *Mat. Sb.* **189** (1998), No 10.

[9] A. S. Mishchenko, Noor Mohammad: Asymptotical representations of discrete groups. Center for Theor. Physics, Miramare – Trieste. Preprint IC/95/260.

[10] D. Voiculescu: Asymptotically commuting finite rank unitary operators without commuting approximants. *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged) **45** (1983), 429–431.

V. M. Manuilov
Dept. of Mech. and Math.,
Moscow State University,
Moscow, 119899, RUSSIA
e-mail: manuilov@mech.math.msu.su